Joint Centre for Mesoscale Meteorology, Reading, UK

Potential Vorticity:
A short history of its definitions and uses

A. J. Thorpe
H. Volkert

Internal Report No. 75

September 1997

Met Office Joint Centre for Mesoscale Meteorology Department of Meteorology
University of Reading PO Box 243 Reading RG6 6BB United Kingdom
Tel: +44 (0)118 931 8425 Fax: +44 (0)118 931 8791
www.metoffice.com

Designed and produced by the Met Office © Crown copyright 2001 01/0240 The Met Office logo is a registered trademark.

Potential Vorticity: A short history of its definitions and uses

by

Alan J. Thorpe
Department of Meteorology
University of Reading

and

Hans Volkert
Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre
DLR- Oberpfaffenhofen

Abstract

There has, in recent years, been something of a renaissance in the use of potential vorticity to interpret atmospheric dynamics. This owes a lot to the review paper of Hoskins, McIntyre and Robertson (1985). However, the definition and use of potential vorticity dates back to about 1940. In this paper the different roots of the conception of the dynamical quantity now called potential vorticity are traced during the period 1940 to 1955. Some background information about the principal scientists involved is provided as is a brief account of the use of the early ideas during the following two decades.

Potentielle Vorticity: Ein geschichtlicher Abriβ zu Definitionen und Anwendungen

Zusammenfassung

Die vergangenen Jahre sahen eine Art Renaissance des Gebrauchs der potentiellen Vorticity zur Interpretation der Atmosphärendynamik. Diese basiert zu einem Gutteil auf dem Übersichtsartikel von Hoskins, McIntyre und Robertson (1985). Doch Definition und Anwendung von potentieller Vorticity stammen aus der Zeit um 1940. In diesem Aufsatz werden die verschiedenen Wurzeln für den Entwurf einer dynamischen Größe, die heute potentielle Vorticity genannt wird, während des Zeitraums 1940 bis 1955 nachgezeichnet. Dabei wird auch Hintergrundinformation geliefert über die wegbereitenden Wissenschaftler sowie eine kurze Erwähnung der Anwendungen der frühen Ideen während der folgenden beiden Jahrzehnte.

1. *Historical perspective*

The aim of this paper is to provide an analysis of the various strands which went into the early development of the definition and use in meteorology of the quantity now called potential vorticity. We focus especially on the role of the scientists who were the four key protagonists: two of them working in the USA, Carl-Gustaf Rossby and Jule Charney, and two in Germany, Hans Ertel and Ernst Kleinschmidt. A point which emerges is the (perhaps inappropriate) transfer of the terminology introduced by Rossby to the quantity defined by Ertel (and its various approximations).

1.1 Rossby's Potential Vorticity

The first use of the term "potential vorticity" in the literature is by Carl-Gustaf Rossby [1] in a paper in 1940 which appears in a supplement to Volume 66 of the Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society. Rossby's approach used the shallow water equations and it is worth quoting his definition: "The potential vorticity represents the vorticity the air column would have if it were brought isentropically to a standard latitude and stretched or shrunk vertically to a standard depth or weight." The analogy to potential temperature defined in the 19th century by von Bezold (1889) is clear.

The potential vorticity defined by Rossby, P_R, in his 1940 paper is as follows:

$$P_R = \left(\xi_\theta + f\right)\frac{\Delta p_0}{\Delta p} - f_0 \qquad (1)$$

where ξ_θ is the relative vorticity about an axis perpendicular to an isentropic surface

Δp is the pressure depth of a column of air whose top and bottom have a chosen potential temperature difference $\Delta\theta_0$

Δp_0 is a chosen reference Δp for the same column

f_0 is the Coriolis parameter of a chosen reference latitude

f is the Coriolis parameter.

1

Carl-Gustaf Rossby: born 1898 in Stockholm, Sweden, died 1957 in Stockholm, Sweden
Main affiliations: Univ. Bergen, Norway with V. and J. Bjerknes (1919-1920); Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, Stockholm (SMHI, Swedish Met. Service; 1922-1925); Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge (MIT; 1928-1941); Univ. of Chicago (1941-1947); Univ. of Stockholm, Sweden (1947-1957)

For more information see e.g. Bolin (1959), Lewis (1996)

It should be noted that P_R is a relative "vorticity" and, of course, has dimensions of s^{-1}.The P_R is conserved for dry adiabatic frictionless flow and as the column moves changing its Δp, then its isentropic relative vorticity, ξ_θ , changes to maintain the constancy of P_R. It is straightforward to define an absolute version of Rossby's potential vorticity by forming $P_R + f_0$. Thus P_R is the relative vorticity the air column would have if it were to move to the standard latitude and occupy the standard pressure depth.

Having noted the constancy of P_R for adiabatic frictionless flow, equation (1) can be used by re-arranging it to give:

$$\xi_\theta = \frac{\Delta p}{\Delta p_0}\left(P_R + f_0\right) - f \qquad (2)$$

Hence knowing an air-parcel's P_R and its latitude and pressure depth we can use equation (2) to find its isentropic relative vorticity.

Rossby notes, in that paper, that his collaborator Victor Starr suggested to him that this quantity might be used for the identification of air-masses. In his 1940 paper Rossby discussed at length the planetary flow patterns after making approximations such as time averaging over one week and the superposition of several equal density layers in the vertical. His (shallow water) potential vorticity was suggested as an important tracer, but of a somewhat auxiliary nature. His motivation clearly stemmed from a multi-year research initiative at MIT.

Closely following on from Rossby's paper the new ideas were pursued by Starr and Neiburger (1940), Spar (1943) and Platzman (1949) by applying them to atmospheric cases. One of the motivations of this work was in air-mass identification for which it was felt there should be three conserved quantities. In other words an air-parcel could be uniquely identified only if three conserved quantities were known. These three were potential temperature, specific humidity, and potential vorticity. For example if an isentropic chart is displayed then an air-parcel must remain somewhere on that surface. To determine its location on the surface one can use specific humidity (as long as no condensation or evaporation takes place) but this only provides a location anyway along a humidity isoline. To fix the parcel's position along that isoline the potential vorticity was used. The usefulness of this method seems to rely on how orthogonal such isolines are to one another; for example, if the potential vorticity isolines were to be nearly parallel to the humidity isolines then it would remain difficult to pinpoint a given air-parcel.

1.2 Ertel's Potential Vorticity

Independently, Hans Ertel [2] published a paper in 1942 in the Meteorologische Zeitschrift dealing with a general vortex theorem ("Wirbelsatz") in relation with assumed hydrodynamical invariants ("hydrodynamische Invariante") which are individually conserved for each fluid element. He was a partly self taught academic who obtained his PhD in 1932 three years after he was exceptionally allowed to enter university without a final secondary school exam (Abitur). On little more than four two-column pages he deduced over 40 equation steps the differential version of a very general vortex theorem from the hydrodynamical equations. As a special case he mentioned adiabatic motion and stated in a formula that the scalar product of the absolute vorticity vector and the potential temperature gradient multiplied by the specific volume does not change with time, but he did not assign a name to this complicated quantity. He went on to derive the integral version of the vortex theorem. Ertel's purely theoretical approach was quite different to Rossby's, not least because it made no connection to observational data.

The adiabatic vorticity invariant introduced by Ertel 1942, P_E, is defined as:

$$P_E = \frac{1}{\rho}\underline{\zeta}\cdot\nabla\theta \qquad (3)$$

where ρ is the air density

$\underline{\zeta}$ is the absolute vorticity vector

The dimensions of P_E are $Km^2s^{-1}kg^{-1}$. It should be noted that P_E can be written in terms of isentropic relative vorticity in the following alternative way if the hydrostatic approximation can be made:

$$P_E = -g(\xi_\theta + f)\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial p} \qquad (4)$$

2

Hans Ertel: born 1904 in Berlin, Germany; died 1971 in Berlin, Germany
main affiliations: Institut für Meteorologie, University of Berlin (1934-1941); Zentralanstalt für Meteorologie und Geodynamik, Wien (1942); Institut für Meteorologie und Geophysik, Uni. Innsbruch (1943-1945); Institut für Meteorologie and Geophysik, (Humboldt) Univ. (East-) Berlin (1947-1962) and Deutsche Akademie der Wissenschaften (East-) Berlin (1949-1969)
For more information see Mauersberger (1971), Schröder (1994)

Interestingly there is a very general reference to Rossby (Ertel 1942, p. 280): " ... the adiabatic case of the vortex theorem may turn out to be a useful tool in 'isentropic analysis' (C.G. Rossby)", but no citation of Rossby's work [3].

1.3 Charney's Potential Vorticity

In a separate line of reasoning in 1948 Jule Charney [4], published a paper which essentially defined the quasi-geostrophic theory. In that paper he derived a conservation principle for what he called the absolute potential vorticity and made a rather profound summary of the new theory: "..... the motion of large-scale disturbances is governed by the laws of conservation of potential temperature and absolute potential vorticity, and by the conditions that the horizontal velocity be quasi-geostrophic and pressure quasi-hydrostatic." The quantity, whose conservation Charney derived, is now called the quasi-geostrophic potential vorticity and it has a relationship, albeit one that is not intuitively obvious, with the quantities discussed by Rossby and Ertel.

Charney (1948) uses the term "absolute potential vorticity" for a quantity, P_Q, which arises from quasi-geostrophic theory:

$$P_Q = f + \xi + \frac{\partial}{\partial p}\left(\frac{f_0^2}{\sigma^2}\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial p}\right) \qquad (5)$$

where $\xi = \nabla^2\psi$ is the geostrophic relative vorticity on a pressure surface

σ^2 is the static stability of a horizontally homogeneous background atmosphere

ψ is the geostrophic stream function

3

We know that Ertel and Rossby met. In 1937 Ertel spent a two month research visit at the meteorological division of MIT headed by Rossby (Mauersberger 1971; see also Phillips, 1990, p. 203). The foreword of his treatise in German on dynamical meteorology (Ertel 1938) is signed: "at present Cambridge, Mass., December 1937, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Meteorological division - H. Ertel". In the 246 often detailed footnotes Rossby's earlier papers are frequently referred to. In 1948, after the war, Rossby visited Ertel in Berlin (Schröder 1994) and there is a joint paper (Ertel and Rossby, 1949), which apparently was entirely written by Ertel, but did neither introduce nor even contain the concept of potential vorticity (the contrary has been erroneously stated by Cressman, 1996, p. 25).

4

Jule G. Charney: born 1917 in San Francisco, USA; died 1981 in Boston, USA
main affiliations: Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton (1948-1956); Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge (MIT; 1956-1981)
For more information see Lindzen et al. (1990)

The P_Q is conserved by the geostrophic flow and has units of s^{-1}. The relationship between P_Q and the other potential vorticities is not a direct one.

It is intriguing to note that a year later in Charney (1949) there is a full derivation of Ertel's theorem, without reference to Ertel (1942). There, Charney, says that '.....we obtain essentially Rossby's equation for the conservation of "potential vorticity" (see Rossby 1940)'. This probably sowed the seeds of the transfer of the name potential vorticity to Ertel's quantity.

1.4 Kleinschmidt's contribution

In a seemingly independent and more profound way Ernst Kleinschmidt [5] freely used Ertel's "adiabatic vorticity invariant" to discuss the dynamics of cyclones in a three-part paper in Meteorologische Rundschau in 1950 and 1951 (Thorpe, 1993). This link with Ertel's quantity was made eight years after Ertel's paper and involved a case study of cyclogenesis which had occurred in March 1943. Apparently Kleinschmidt was unaware of Rossby's work or at least did not make the connection between Ertel's and Rossby's theorems. Kleinschmidt had referred to Ertel already in his theoretically oriented PhD-thesis of 1941 and had obtained thorough practical experience with data as a forecaster during the war. His pioneering work developed the ideas of conservation, non-conservation, and invertibility of P_E. He did not use a specific term for the new variable, but only declared "to make use of a hydrodynamical state variable, which will continue to become an important one". Once he called it "Ertel's quantity Z" and stayed with this letter for the remainder of his treatise.

The series of papers Kleinschmidt wrote in the early 1950's are staggeringly original. An indication of the originality and imagination involved in Kleinschmidt's use of potential vorticity is given in a figure he included in his 1950 paper; here reproduced as figure 1. The draughtsmanship seems to owe something to Salvador Dali! Rarely since then has such imagination between put into a meteorological diagram. It attempts to do what even the latest computer graphics seem to have difficulty with namely to provide a perspective three-dimensional depiction of a potential vorticity anomaly (referred to as the "Höhenkörper" by Kleinschmidt).

Kleinschmidt's use of potential vorticity really set the groundwork for the way it is used today. He used the conservation property to monitor air-parcel trajectories. However he

---

5

Ernst Kleinschmidt: born 1912 in Friedrichshafen, Germany; died 1971 in Göttingen, Germany
main affiliations: Reichswetterdienst, partly attached to the air force (1938-1946); Kaiser-Wilhelm- (from 1948: Max-Planck-) Institut für Strömungsforschung, Göttingen (1946-1971)

For more information see Thorpe (1993)

also notes that in cloudy regions potential vorticity is not conserved due to latent heat release and this source/sink of potential vorticity may have important consequences. In addition he suggested that if the distribution of potential vorticity were known then it could be "inverted" to find the (balanced) winds and temperatures consistent with that distribution. Hoskins, McIntyre and Robertson (1985) much later refer to this as the invertibility principle.

2. *Inter-relationships between Potential Vorticities*

The practice of applying the term potential vorticity for the quantity introduced by Ertel has been followed since the early 1950's leading to the uncomfortable use of the name "potential vorticity" for a quantity which does not have dimensions of vorticity. A simple scaling could be used to obtain the "correct" units but this was not pursued by Hoskins et al (1985) who instead introduced a "potential vorticity unit" as an abbreviation $\left(1PVU = 10^{-6} m^2 s^{-1} K kg^{-1}\right.$.

It is possible to state precisely the relationship between these various definitions of potential vorticity. Comparing equations (1) and (4) we find that for motions for which the hydrostatic approximation is applicable:

$$P_R = P_E \frac{\Delta p_0}{g\Delta\theta_0} - f_0 \qquad (6)$$

(Note that the pressure depths Δp and Δp_0 are defined as positive quantities.)

The Rossby potential vorticity, in contrast to the Ertel quantity, requires values of the constants $\Delta p_0, \Delta\theta_0$ and f_0 to be provided. Values given by Rossby 1940 are: $f_0 = 10^{-4} s^{-1}$, $\Delta p_0 = 100mb$ and $\Delta\theta_0 = 4K$ which gives the numerical value of the factor which appears in equation (6) to be:

$$\frac{\Delta p_0}{g\Delta\theta_0} = 250 kgm^{-2} K^{-1} \qquad (7)$$

Note that if $P_E = 1PVU$ then $P_R = 1.5 \times 10^{-4} s^{-1}$. It remains an historical oddity that of these different forms it became the fashion to use P_E but to give it the name coined by Rossby for P_R. This is a confusing practice as the units of P_E are not those of vorticity. A possible resolution of this difficulty is to define a scaled version of P_E suggested by equation (7):

$$P_s = 250\, P_E \qquad (8)$$

where the number 250 has the dimensions given in equation (7) and then P_s has the dimensions of s^{-1} if P_E is in SI units. Then the quantity P_s is the absolute potential

vorticity formed by adding f_o to P_R. So, for example, a value of $P_s = 1 \times 10^{-4} s^{-1}$ (equal to the typical mid-latitude planetary vorticity) corresponds to a value of $P_E = 4 \times 10^{-7} Km^2 s^{-1} kg^{-1} = 0.4\, PVU$.

The relationship of P_Q to P_E, or P_R, is less direct. It can be shown that the derivatives of P_E on an isentropic surface bear a simple relationship to the derivatives of P_Q on a pressure surface. However if we divide the atmosphere into a horizontally homogeneous reference (denoted by an overbar) and a perturbation, as is done in quasi-geostrophic theory, then on the large-scale we can approximate P_E by:

$$P_E \approx -g\left[f_0 \frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial p} + (f + \xi)\frac{\partial \bar{\theta}}{\partial p}\right] \qquad (9)$$

Comparing equations (5) and (9), and noting that $\theta' = -\theta_0 f \rho \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial p}$, we can see that there is a relationship between P_Q and $P_E / \left(-g\frac{\partial \bar{\theta}}{\partial p}\right)$. This relationship is complicated by the presence, in the quasi-geostrophic form, of the static stability parameter inside the vertical derviative. This feature does not occur in the approximated form of P_E in equation (9).

3. Epilogue

In the preceding sections we have mentioned the contributions of the different protagonists during the early development of the potential vorticity concept in America and Europe. The interplay of at least two contrasts which appear to be unique to this powerful but complicated quantity has been highlighted: a far sighted approximation (Rossby) versus a very abstract deductive approach (Ertel) and new terminology in two important scientific languages, English and German with the latter one losing its status as a relevant communication medium during World War II.

Following the initial suggestion by Rossby that potential vorticity be used as an air-parcel tracer there were two distinct pioneering ways in which it has been used in dynamical meteorology. The first is that implied by the quasi-geostrophic potential vorticity, and theory, developed by Charney (1948). This had proved to be extremely productive in the interpretation and theorizing about the large, synoptic, scale aspects of extra-tropical weather systems. It forms the cornerstone of large-scale meteorology.

The second application to dynamical meteorology stems from the Ertel theorem and Kleinschmidt's use of it in synoptic analysis and via the invertibitlity concept. Both Ertel and Kleinschmidt worked in small groups or even in isolation, so their concept did not flourish in German meteorological research. Apparently it was in America where Rossby's

imaginative term and Ertel's general quantity of a physical dimension different from vorticity first became coupled by Charney (1949) and then continuously applied by Reed, Danielsen and Shapiro among others. Most of these applications used the approximation of isentropic potential vorticity as a marker for stratospheric air without giving an indication about the origin of the variable.

In contrast Charney and Phillips (1953) were apparently aware of all the earlier studies and put the concept of potential vorticity in relation to the then very recent technique of numerical modelling. They refer to the isentropic form of Ertel's quantity as "potential vorticity" and show how it plays a central role in an envisaged quasi-geostrophic, semi-Lagrangian numerical model with potential temperature as the vertical coordinate [6]. Reed (1955) used the potential vorticity to help define the existence of upper fronts and tropopause folds in extratropical cyclones by noting that the stratosphere has a distinctly larger value of potential vorticity than the troposphere. Bleck (1973) provided an exception to the dearth of citations to the earlier papers as his section "Early work on the potential vorticity theorem" referred to the contributions by Rossby, Ertel and Kleinschmidt.

This silent transition of terminology from Rossby's to Ertel's quantity was probably aided by the lack of a concise German term for Ertel's quantity. In his German textbook Raethjen (1953) clearly distinguished between Rossby's "potential vorticity" (p. 206) and Ertel's "adiabatic vortex invariant" (p. 207). Kleinschmidt (1955) called Ertel's quantity "potential vorticity" in the English abstract, but strangely "potential vortex value" (potentieller Wirbelwert) in the German main text. In their detailed handbook contribution Eliassen and Kleinschmidt (1957) introduced Ertel's theorem in full generality under the heading of "potential vorticity". Its conservation is stated for adiabatic and frictionless motion with a short credit to Rossby for its derivation "in a somewhat simplified form".

Over the 50 years since its inception potential vorticity has been used in a huge number of meteorological applications: invertibility, instability criteria, tracers, electrostatic analogy, attribution, diabatic and frictional generation, and the theory of balanced equations. Today, potential vorticity is in ubiquitous use in dynamical meteorology, even jargon as "PV thinking" appears to be en vogue. But the celebrated quantity remains to be complicated, "dimensionally awkward" (Bleck, 1973) and its relevance is difficult to appreciate for newcomers to the field as well as to non-theoreticians. We hope that a better knowledge of the hidden path of the concept's early development and reception is also conducive to a better understanding.

6

In Charney and Phillips (1953) short credits are given to Rossby (1940) for the "discovery of the law of conservation of potential vorticity" (footnote 5, p. 72); to Kleinschmidt (1950) for "deducing flow patterns corresponding to simple prescribed distributions of potential vorticity" (footnote 9, p. 95); and to Ertel (1942) for the "general form of the potential vorticity theorem" (in brackets at the end of p. 97). But the subleties of the different definitions are not mentioned. More details may be found in the quoted technical report by Shuman (1951) of which we could not obtain a copy.

References

Bezold, W. von 1889 Zur Thermodynamik der Atmosphäre. Meteorol. Z., 6, 287-294.

Bleck, R. 1973 Numerical forecasting experiments based on the conservation of potential vorticity on isentropic surfaces. J. Appl. Meteorol., 12, 737-752.

Bolin, B. (ed.) 1959 The Atmosphere and Sea in motion. Scientific contributions to the Rossby Memorial Volume, Rockefeller Institute Press, New York, 509 pp.

Charney, J.G. 1948 On the scale of atmospheric motions. Geofys. Publ., 17, no. 2, 17 pp.

Charney, J.G. 1949 On a physical basis for numerical prediction of large-scale motions in the atmosphere. J. Meteorol., 6, 371-385.

Charney, J.G. and N.A. Phillips 1953 Numerical integration of the quasi-geostrophic equations for barotropic and simple baroclinic flows. J. Meteorol., 10, 71-99.

Cressman, G.P. 1996 The origin and rise of numerical weather prediction. In J.R. Fleming (ed.), Historical Essays on Meteorology 1919-1995. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., Boston, 21-39.

Eliassen, A. and E. Kleinschmidt 1957 Dynamic Meteorology. In S. Flügge (ed.), Handbuch der Physik, 48, 1-154.

Ertel, H. 1938 Methoden und Probleme der dynamischen Meteorologie. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 5. Band, Lieferung 3, Springer, Berlin, 153-278.

Ertel, H. 1942 Ein neuer hydrodynamischer Wirbelsatz. Meteorol. Z., 59, 277-281.

Ertel, H. and C.-G. Rossby 1949 A new conservation-theorem of hydrodynamics. Pageoph., 14, 189-193.

Hoskins, B.J., M.E. McIntyre and A.W. Robertson 1985 On the use and interpretation of isentropic potential vorticity maps. Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc., 111, 877-946.

Kleinschmidt, E. 1950 Über Aufbau und Entstehung von Zyklonen (I. Teil) Meteorol. Z., 3, 1-6; (II. Teil), Meteorol. Z., 3, 54-61.

Kleinschmidt, E. 1951 Über Aufbau und Entstehung von Zyklonen (III. Teil) Meteorol. Z., 4, 89-96.

Kleinschmidt, E. 1955 Die Entstehung einer Höhenzyklone über Nordamerika. Tellus, 7, 96-108.

Lewis, J.M. 1996 C.G. Rossby: Geostrophic adjustment as an outgrowth of modelling the Gulf Stream. Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., 77, 2711-2728.

Lindzen, R.S., E.N. Lorenz and G.W. Platzman (eds.) 1990 The atmosphere - a challenge: The science of Jule Gregory Charney. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., Boston, xvii + 321 pp.

Mauersberger, P. 1971 Nachruf Prof. Dr. Hans Ertel. Zeitschrift f. Meteorol., 22, 314-317.

Phillips, N.A. 1990 The emergence of quasi-geostrophic theory. In R.S. Lindzen et al. (eds.), The atmosphere - a challenge: The science of Jule Gregory Charney. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., Boston, 177-206.

Platzman, G. W. 1949 The motion of barotropic disturbances in the upper troposphere. Tellus, 1(3), 53-64.

Raethjen, P. 1953 Dynamik der Zyklonen. Akad. Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, xii + 384 pp.

Reed, R.J. 1955 A study of a characteristic type of upper-level frontogenesis. J. Meteorol., 12, 226-237.

Rossby, C.-G. 1940 Planetary flow patterns in the atmosphere. Q.J.R.Meteorol. Soc., 66 suppl., 68-87.

Schröder, W. 1994 Meteorologist's profile - Hans Ertel. Weather, 49, 284-288.

Shuman, F.G. 1951 Solutions of a differential equation of pressure tendency. Technical Rept. No. 9, Research on atmospheric pressure change, Dept. Meteorol., Mass. Inst. Tech., 135 pp.

Spar, J. 1943 The correlation between specific humidity and potential vorticity in the atmosphere. Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., 24, 196-200.

Starr, V. and Neiburger, M. 1940 Potential vorticity as a conservative property. J. Marine Res., 3, 202-210.

Thorpe, A.J. 1993 An appreciation of the meteorological research of Ernst Kleinschmidt, Meteorol. Z., N.F., 2, 3-12.

Figure caption

Fig. 1. (a) A vertical cross-section through the cyclone of 6 March 1943 at 05 Z showing isentropes. Note the outlined body of increased static stability between the troposphere and stratosphere. This elevated body (Höhenkörper) is associated, by Kleinschmidt, with high PV of a value intermediate between that of the lower troposphere and the stratosphere. This figure appeared as figure 2 of Kleinschmidt 1950. - (b) A three-dimensional depiction of the Höhenkörper itself above the surface synoptic chart. This appeared as figure 4 of Kleinschmidt 1950.


16
m
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
400
390
380
370
360
350
WSW
ENE
0
500 km


5 km
10
5
1005
1010
1015
1020
1025
1030

CURRENT JCMM INTERNAL REPORTS

This series of JCMM Internal Reports, initiated in 1993, contains unpublished reports and also versions of articles submitted for publication. The complete set of Internal Reports is available from the National Meteorological Library on loan, if required.

1. **Research Strategy and Programme**
   K A Browning et al
   January 1993

2. **The GEWEX Cloud System Study (GCSS)**
   GEWEX Cloud System Science Team
   January 1993

3. **Evolution of a mesoscale upper tropospheric vorticity maximum and comma cloud from a cloud-free two dimensional potential vorticity anomaly**
   K A Browning
   January 1993

4. **The Global Energy and Water Cycle**
   K A Browning
   July 1993

5. **Structure of a midlatitude cyclone before occlusion**
   K A Browning and N Roberts
   July 1993

6. **Development in Systems and Tools for Weather Forecasting**
   K A Browning and G Szejwach
   July 1993

7. **Diagnostic study of a narrow cold frontal rainband and severe winds associated with a stratospheric intrusion**
   K A Browning and R Reynolds
   August 1993

8. **Survey of perceived priority issues in the parametrizations of cloud-related processes in GCMs**
   K A Browning
   September 1993

9. **The Effect of Rain on Longwave Radiation**
   I Dharssi
   September 1993

10. **Physical Cloud Microprocesses - A Description of the Parametrization used in the Large Eddy Model**
H Swann
July 1994

11. **An Appreciation of the Meteorological Research of Ernst Kleinschmidt**
A J Thorpe
May 1992

12. **Potential Vorticity of Flow Along the Alps**
A J Thorpe, H Volkert and Dietrich Heimann
August 1992

13. **The Representation of Fronts**
A J Thorpe
January 1993

14. **A Parametrization Scheme for Symmetric Instability: Test for an Idealised Flow**
C S Chan and A J Thorpe
February 1993

15. **The Fronts 92 Experiment: a Quicklook Atlas**
Edited by T D Hewson
November 1993

16. **Frontal wave stability during moist deformation frontogenesis**
Part 1. Linear wave dynamics
C H Bishop and A J Thorpe
May 1993

17. **Frontal wave stability during moist deformation frontogenesis**
Part 2. The suppression of non-linear wave development
C H Bishop and A J Thorpe
May 1993

18. **Gravity waves in sheared ducts**
C Bishop and A J Thorpe
November 1993

19. **Potential Vorticity and the Electrostatics Analogy: Quasi - Geostrophic Theory**
C Bishop and A J Thorpe
November 1993

20. **Recent Advances in the Measurement of Precipitation by Radar**
A J Illingworth - April 1993

21. **Micro-Physique et Givrage. Cloud Microphysics and Aircraft Icing**
A J Illingworth May 1993

22. **Differential Phase Measurements of Precipitation**
M Blackman and A J Illingworth
May 1993

23. **Estimation of Effective Radius of Cloud Particles from the Radar Reflectivity**
N I Fox and A J Illingworth
May 1993

24. **A Simple Method of Dopplerising a Pulsed Magnetron Radar**
A Hau, A J Illingworth and J Eastment
November 1993

25. **Radiation and Polar Lows**
George C Craig
February 1994

26. **Collected preprints submitted to the International Symposium on the Life Cycles of Extratropical Cyclones; Bergen, Norway, 27 June - 1 July 1994**
April 1994

27. **Convective Frontogenesis**
Douglas J Parker and Alan J Thorpe
April 1994

28. **Improved Measurement of the Ice Water Content in Cirrus Using a Total Water Evaporator**
Philip R A Brown and Peter N Francis
April 1994

29. **Mesoscale Effects of a Dry Intrusion within a Vigorous Cyclone**
K A Browning and B W Golding
April 1994

30. **GEWEX Cloud System Study, Science Plan**
May 1994

31. **Parametrization of Momentum Transport by Convectively Generated Gravity Waves**
R Kershaw
February 1995

32. **Mesoscale Modelling Newsletter No 5**
May 1994

33. **Observations of the mesoscale sub-structure in the cold air of a developing frontal cyclone**
K A Browning, S A Clough, C S A Davitt, N M Roberts and T D Hewson
May 1994

34. **Longwave Radiative Forcing of a Simulated Tropical Squall Line**
Imtiaz Dharssi
July 1994

35. **On the nature of the convective circulation at a kata-cold front**
K A Browning
September 1994

36. **Collective preprints of papers submitted to the COST-75 International Seminar on Advanced Weather Radar Systems, Brussels, 20-23 September 1994**
November 1994

37. **Use of satellite imagery to diagnose events leading to frontal thunderstorms: Parts 1 and 11 of a case study**
K A Browning and N M Roberts
November 1994

38. **The role of spaceborne millimetre-wave radar in the global monitoring of ice cloud**
P R A Brown, A J Illingworth, A J Heymsfield, G M McFarquahar, K A Browning and M Gosset
December 1994

39. **Potential Vorticity Inversion**
Michael Ziemianski
October 1994

40. **Theory of mature tropical cyclones; a comparison between Kleinschmidt (1951) and Emanuel (1986)**
Suzanne L Gray
December 1994

41. **Dispersion and removal of pollutants during the passage of an atmospheric frontal System**
N R Gimson
December 1994

42. **The role of snow sublimation in frontogenesis**
D J Parker and A J Thorpe
December 1994

43. **Potential vorticity and the electrostatics Analogy: Ertel- Rossby formulation**
A J Thorpe and C H Bishop
December 1994

44. **Mesoscale aspect of extratropical cyclones: an observational perspective**
K A Browning
January 1995

45. **A Polar Low Outbreak: Evolution and Mesoscale Structures**
T D Hewson, G C Craig and C Claud
February 1995

46. **Generation of near-surface gusts of deep convection**
K Nakamura and R Kershaw
April 1995

47. **Attribution Concepts applied to the Omega Equation**
S A Clough, C S A Davitt and A J Thorpe
June 1995

48. **Parametrization of mixed-phase cloud and precipitation**
Susan P Ballard and M Gerard Hutchinson
June 1995

49. **Short-range forecasting of stratocumulus: Initialization Prediction**
Susan Ballard, Simon D Jackson and B Macpherson
June 1995

50. **Contributions of the JCMM/Dept of Meteorology Radar Group to the 27th AMS Radar Meteorology Conference, Vail October 1995**
June 1995

51. **Cold Pools in Shear**
Douglas J Parker
September 1995

52. **High-resolution analysis of frontal fracture**
K A Browning, S P Ballard and C S A Davitt
September 1995

53. **The Albedo of Broken Cloud Fields: Calculations using a modified two stream radiation code**
Imtiaz Dharssi and Roy Kershaw
October 1995

54. **Parametrization of momentum transport by convection. I: Theory and cloud modelling results**
P Kershaw and D Gregory
November 1995

55. **Variation of frontal structure along a cold front**
K A Browning and N M Roberts
November 1995

56. **Secondary Frontal Waves**
Douglas Parker
December 1995

57. **Objective Fronts**
T D Hewson
March 1996

58. **Mesoscale analysis of the activation of a cold front during cyclogenesis**
K A Browning, N M Roberts and A J Illingworth
June 1996

59. **Investigation of Different Representations of Rain in a 1-d Evaporation Model**
H Swann
May 1996

60. **Parametrization of diabatic processes in the inversion of the omega-equation**
M Athanassiadou and A J Thorpe

61. **The Role of the Environmental Flow in the Development of Secondary Frontal Cyclones**
I A Renfrew, A J Thorpe and A Joly
June 1996

62. **Consequences of the geostrophic momentum approximation on barotropic instability**
S Malardel, A J Thorpe and A Joly
June 1996

63. **Contributions to the AMS/RMS Conference on Mesoscale Processes**
Reading, 9-13 September 1996

64. **Vorticity and Potential Vorticity in Stratified Flows Interacting with an Isolated Obstacle**
Jorge A Gutierrez and A J Thorpe
September 1996

65. **Triple Point Development: Two Case Studies**
Douglas Parker
September 1996

66. **Radar observations of wind-shear splitting within evolving atmospheric Kelvin-Helmholtz billows**
D Chapman and K A Browning
April 1997

67. **Cold Frontal Structure derived from radar wind profilers**
K A Browning, D Jerrett, J Nash, T Oakley, N M Roberts
May 1997

68. **The dry intrusion and its effect on the frontal, cloud and precipitation structure of extratropical cyclones**
K A Browning - Text of invited special lecture at the Spring Conference of the Meteorological Society of Japan, University of Tsukuba, 22 May 1997

69. **Met. Office Unified Model data, diagnostic graphics programs, and other observational data available from the JCMM through the aegis of the Universities Weather Research Network UWERN.** Plus supplement.
Peter Panagi and Ed Dicks
June 1997

70. **Contributions of the JCMM/Dept of Meteorology Radar Group to 28th AMS Radar Meteorology Conference.** Austin, Texas, September 1997

71. **Sensitivity of short-range weather forecasts to local potential vorticity modifications**
Meral Demirtas and Alan Thorpe
July 1997

72. **Objective identification of frontal wave cyclones**
T D Hewson
August 1997

73. **Attribution and its application to mesoscale structure associated with tropopause folds**
A J Thorpe
September 1997

74. **The Role of the Environmental Flow in the Development of Secondary Frontal Cyclones**
Ian A Renfrew, Alan J Thorpe and Craig H Bishop
September 1997

75. **Potential Vorticity: A short history of its definitions and uses**
Alan J Thorpe and Hans Volkert
September 1997

Met Office Joint Centre for Mesoscale Meteorology Department of Meteorology
University of Reading PO Box 243 Reading RG6 6BB United Kingdom
Tel: +44 (0)118 931 8425 Fax: +44 (0)118 931 8791
www.metoffice.com